United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,337	02/06/2004	Seok Hwa Jeong	IPS-0017	4505
34610 KED & ASSO	7590 08/03/2007 CIATES, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 221200			YENKE, BRIAN P	
Chantilly, VA	20153-1200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/772,337	JEONG, SEOK H	WA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a Individual apply and will expire SIX (6) MON Individual apply a	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this constant to the mailing date of this constant to the constan				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		t received				
See the attached detailed Office action for a n	st of the certified copies no	receiveu.				
Attachmont(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>22 Jan 07</u> .	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/772,337 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shintani, US 5,978,046 in view of Kahn, US 6,678,009 and Cohen-Solal, US 7,206,029.

In considering claims 1 and 11,

- b) the claimed a main-picture...is met by main tuner 4 along with main image signal circuit 8 (Fig 1)
- c) the claimed a sub-picture...is met by sub-tuner 5 along with sub-image circuit 18 along with synthesizing circuit 9.
 - d) the claimed a micro-controller...is met by system control circuit 19
- e) the claimed a PIP processing unit is met by synthesizing circuit 9 which includes a memory for combining the sub and main picture to display a PIP (col 4, line 10-36).

Although Shintani discloses various display options along with a remote control 24, Shintani does not explicitly disclose the details of such.

Thus the examiner will evidence the key input unit, OSD, sub-picture OSD menu (limitations a, f-g) by incorporating Kahn, US 6,678,009.

Kahn disclose a system which allows the user to adjust the picture via user input (Fig 1), wherein an OSD menu (Fig 5) is displayed.

It is noted that Kahn does not explicitly recite the notoriously well known feature of PIP however, the concept of PIP and the user being able to adjust the position/size of such PIP is evidenced by Cohen-Solal (col 3, line 1-19).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Shintani which discloses a PIP display system by allowing the user to adjust the size of the display/PIP screens as evidence by the combination of Kahn and Cohen-Solal to provide the benefit of user control in the position/size of such display.

In considering claims 2-3,

Although, the above combination does not disclose this feature, this has been evidenced by applicant's submitted prior art (WO-00/60855) thus the incorporation of such would have produced known results (i.e. that is the result would be predictable) therefore being rejected.

In considering claim 4-10 and 12-15,

As stated with respect to claim 2, the combination of references with respect to claim 1, in conjunction with evidenced prior art, meets the limitations as claimed.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 10/772,337 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents

Application/Control Number: 10/772,337 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pregrant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.**P**/Y 30 July 2007

BRIAN P. YĚNKÉ